

CS 171: Discussion Section 10 (April 8)

1 Which Tasks Become Easy With Bilinear Maps?

Let $e : \mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_T$ be a bilinear map for which the *decisional bilinear Diffie-Hellman* (DBDH) problem is hard.

1. For each of the following computational problems, indicate whether the following problems are hard:
 - (a) DDH in \mathbb{G}
 - (b) CDH in \mathbb{G}
 - (c) DDH in \mathbb{G}_T
2. Will the Diffie-Hellman key-exchange protocol be secure if we use group \mathbb{G} ? How about if we use \mathbb{G}_T ?

Solution

1. Summary: We will show that DDH in \mathbb{G} is easy to solve with the help of the bilinear map $e(\cdot)$. But the other problems listed above are hard. Next, the Diffie-Hellman key exchange protocol will be secure if it uses \mathbb{G}_T , but insecure if it uses \mathbb{G} . The protocol is secure if it uses a group for which DDH is hard.
2. Let us recall the DBDH problem:

Definition 1.1 (Decisional Bilinear Diffie-Hellman Problem).

DBDH(n, \mathcal{A}):

(a) The challenger samples the parameters of the bilinear map:

$$\text{pp} = (\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{G}_T, q, g, e) \leftarrow \mathcal{G}(1^n)$$

(b) The challenger samples $a, b, c, r \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$ independently and also samples $\beta \leftarrow \{0, 1\}$. Then they give the adversary the inputs:

$$(\text{pp}, g^a, g^b, g^c, e(g, g)^{abc+r\beta})$$

(c) \mathcal{A} outputs a guess β' for β .

(d) The output of the game is 1 (win) if $\beta' = \beta$ and 0 (lose) otherwise.

We say that **the DBDH problem is hard** if for all PPT adversaries \mathcal{A} ,

$$\left| \Pr[\text{DBDH}(n, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow 1] - \frac{1}{2} \right| \leq \text{negl}(n)$$

3.

Claim 1.2. DDH in \mathbb{G} is easy.

Proof. DDH in \mathbb{G} can be solved efficiently as follows:

- (a) The DDH challenger samples $x, y \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$ independently and sends the adversary $(\mathbb{G}, q, g, g^x, g^y, g^z)$, where either $z = x \cdot y \pmod q$ or $z \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$.
- (b) The adversary computes $e(g^x, g^y) = e(g, g)^{x \cdot y}$ and $e(g, g^z) = e(g, g)^z$ and checks whether:

$$e(g, g)^{x \cdot y} = e(g, g)^z \tag{1.1}$$

If so, the adversary guesses that $z = x \cdot y \pmod q$. If not, they guess that $z \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$.

The adversary will win the DDH game with probability $1 - \text{negl}(n)$. $e(g, g)$ is a generator for \mathbb{G}_T , so equation 1.1 is satisfied if and only if $z = x \cdot y \pmod q$. The only way the adversary can lose is if $z \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$ happens to produce $z = x \cdot y \pmod q$, and this occurs with negligible probability. \square

4.

Claim 1.3. CDH in \mathbb{G} is hard.

Proof.

- (a) If CDH in \mathbb{G} were easy, then we could use the CDH attacker \mathcal{A}_{CDH} to solve the DBDH problem.
- (b) Here is a construction of an adversary for the DBDH game $\mathcal{A}_{\text{DBDH}}$:
 $\mathcal{A}_{\text{DBDH}}$:
 - i. $\mathcal{A}_{\text{DBDH}}$ receives inputs $(\text{pp}, g^a, g^b, g^c, g^{abc+r\beta})$.
 - ii. They run $\mathcal{A}_{\text{CDH}}(\mathbb{G}, q, g, g^a, g^b)$ which outputs h .
 - iii. They check whether

$$e(g^a, g^b) = e(g, h)$$

which is equivalent to checking whether $h = g^{ab}$. If not, they sample and output $\beta' \leftarrow \{0, 1\}$ and halt. If so, they continue.

- iv. Then they check whether

$$e(h, g^c) = e(g, g^{abc+r\beta})$$

When $h = g^{ab}$, this is equivalent to checking whether $abc = abc + r\beta$. If so, they output $\beta' = 0$. If not, they output $\beta' = 1$.

- (c) The point of checking whether $e(g^a, g^b) = e(g, h)$ is to determine whether $h = g^{ab}$. The two conditions are equivalent. \mathcal{A}_{CDH} will compute $h = g^{ab}$ with non-negligible probability.
- (d) If $h = g^{ab}$, then checking whether $e(h, g^c) = e(g, g^{abc+r\beta})$ will correctly decide the value of β with probability $1 - \text{negl}(n)$.
 If $h = g^{ab}$, then $e(h, g^c) = g^{abc}$. The condition $e(h, g^c) = e(g, g^{abc+r\beta})$ will pass if and only if $abc = abc + \beta \cdot r$.
 Then the only way that $\beta' \neq \beta$ is if $r = 0$, but this only occurs with negligible probability.

(e) On the other hand, if $h \neq g^{ab}$, then $\mathcal{A}_{\text{DBDH}}$ is unable to learn any useful information about β , so they guess randomly ($\beta' \leftarrow \{0, 1\}$). This guess is correct with probability $\frac{1}{2}$.

(f) In total, the success probability of $\mathcal{A}_{\text{DBDH}}$ at guessing β is:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr[h = g^{ab}] \cdot (1 - \text{negl}(n)) + (1 - \Pr[h = g^{ab}]) \cdot \frac{1}{2} &= \frac{1}{2} + \Pr[h = g^{ab}] \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} - \text{negl}(n)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} + \text{non-negl}(n) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} - \text{negl}(n)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} + \text{non-negl}(n) \end{aligned}$$

(g) In summary, we've shown that if CDH in \mathbb{G} is easy, then DBDH is easy. That's a contradiction because we are told that DBDH is hard. Therefore, CDH in \mathbb{G} is actually hard. □

5.

Claim 1.4. DDH in \mathbb{G}_T is hard.

Proof.

(a) If DDH in \mathbb{G}_T were easy, then we could use the DDH attacker \mathcal{A}_{DDH} to solve the DBDH problem. Without loss of generality, let us assume that if DDH is easy in \mathbb{G}_T , then $\Pr[\mathcal{A}_{\text{DDH}} \text{ is correct}] \geq \frac{1}{2} + \text{non-negl}(n)$.

(b) Here is a construction of an adversary for the DBDH game $\mathcal{A}_{\text{DBDH}}$:

$\mathcal{A}_{\text{DBDH}}$:

i. $\mathcal{A}_{\text{DBDH}}$ receives inputs $(\text{pp}, g^a, g^b, g^c, g^{abc+r\beta})$.

ii. They compute $e(g^a, g^b) = e(g, g)^{ab}$ and $e(g, g^c) = e(g, g)^c$.

iii. They run $\mathcal{A}_{\text{DDH}}(\mathbb{G}_T, q, e(g, g), e(g, g)^{ab}, e(g, g)^c, e(g, g)^{abc+r\beta})$, which correctly decides whether $abc = abc + r\beta$ with non-negligible advantage.

iv. If \mathcal{A}_{DDH} says that $abc = abc + r\beta$, then $\mathcal{A}_{\text{DBDH}}$ outputs $\beta' = 0$. Otherwise, they output $\beta' = 1$.

(c) As long as $r \neq 0$ and \mathcal{A}_{DDH} correctly decides whether $abc = abc + r\beta$, then $\mathcal{A}_{\text{DBDH}}$ correctly guesses β .

Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr[\mathcal{A}_{\text{DBDH}} \text{ succeeds}] &\geq \Pr[\mathcal{A}_{\text{DDH}} \text{ succeeds}] \cdot \Pr[r \neq 0] = \Pr[\mathcal{A}_{\text{DDH}} \text{ succeeds}] \cdot (1 - \text{negl}(n)) \\ &= \Pr[\mathcal{A}_{\text{DDH}} \text{ succeeds}] - \text{negl}(n) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} + \text{non-negl}(n) \end{aligned}$$

(d) In summary, we've shown that if DDH in \mathbb{G}_T is easy, then DBDH is easy. That's a contradiction because we are told that DBDH is hard for this bilinear map. Therefore, DDH in \mathbb{G}_T is actually hard.

□

6.

Corollary 1.5. *The following problems are also hard: discrete log in \mathbb{G} , CDH in \mathbb{G}_T and discrete log in \mathbb{G}_T .*

Proof sketch:

- (a) For any group, DDH is hard \implies CDH is hard \implies discrete log is hard.
- (b) For group \mathbb{G}_T , we know that DDH is hard, so CDH and discrete log are also hard.
- (c) For group \mathbb{G} , we know that CDH is hard, so discrete log is also hard.

□

2 Bounded Collusion Identity-Based Encryption

In lecture 18, we used a bilinear map to construct IBE (identity-based encryption). Here, we will use DDH and a random oracle $H : \mathbb{Z}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$ to construct a weaker version of IBE that is secure if the attacker only receives a single sk_{ID} .

A random oracle is a truly random function that all parties have query access to. In this problem, H is sampled uniformly at random from all functions mapping $\mathbb{Z}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$. Random oracles are idealized objects, and they don't exist in the real world. In practice, we replace random oracles with sufficiently complex hash functions, such as SHA-256.

Let the IBE scheme $\Pi = (\text{Setup}, \text{KeyGen}, \text{Enc}, \text{Dec})$ be constructed as follows:

1. $\text{Setup}(1^n)$:
 - (a) Sample the parameters of a cyclic group $(\mathbb{G}, q, g) \leftarrow \mathcal{G}(1^n)$. Let $\text{pp} = (\mathbb{G}, q, g)$.
 - (b) Sample $a, b \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$ independently. Compute $h_0 = g^a$ and $h_1 = g^b$.
 - (c) Output $\text{mpk} = (\text{pp}, h_0, h_1)$ and $\text{msk} = (\text{pp}, a, b)$.
2. $\text{KeyGen}(\text{msk}, \text{ID})$:
 - (a) Let $\text{ID} \in \mathbb{Z}_q$.
 - (b) Compute $r = H(\text{ID})$ and $s = a \cdot r + b \pmod q$.
 - (c) Output $\text{sk}_{\text{ID}} = (\text{ID}, s)$.
3. $\text{Enc}(\text{mpk}, \text{ID}, m)$:
 - (a) Let $m \in \mathbb{G}$.
 - (b) Compute $r = H(\text{ID})$.
 - (c) Sample $y \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$.
 - (d) Output $\text{ct} = (g^y, h_0^{y \cdot r} \cdot h_1^y \cdot m)$.
4. $\text{Dec}(\text{sk}_{\text{ID}}, \text{ct})$: TBD

It is implied that all functions can make queries to H .

Questions:

1. Fill in $\text{Dec}(\text{sk}_{\text{ID}}, \text{ct})$, and prove that any valid ciphertext will be decrypted correctly.

Solution

$\text{Dec}(\text{sk}_{\text{ID}}, \text{ct})$:

- (a) Parse ct as $\text{ct} = (c_0, c_1)$.
- (b) Compute $r = H(\text{ID})$ and $s = a \cdot r + b \pmod q$.
- (c) Compute and output $m = c_0^{-s} \cdot c_1$

Any valid ciphertext will be decrypted correctly because:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Dec}[\text{sk}_{\text{ID}}, \text{Enc}(\text{mpk}, \text{ID}, m)] &= c_0^{-s} \cdot c_1 \\
 &= g^{-yar-yb} \cdot h_0^{yr} \cdot h_1^y \cdot m \\
 &= g^{-yar-yb} \cdot g^{yar} \cdot g^{yb} \cdot m \\
 &= m
 \end{aligned}$$

□

2. Show that Π is not a CPA-secure IBE scheme.

Solution

(a) The adversary queries $\text{KeyGen}(\text{msk}, \cdot)$ on two different ID's: They obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\text{ID}_1, s_1) &\leftarrow \text{KeyGen}(\text{msk}, \text{ID}_1) \\
 (\text{ID}_2, s_2) &\leftarrow \text{KeyGen}(\text{msk}, \text{ID}_2)
 \end{aligned}$$

(b) The adversary computes $r_1 = H(\text{ID}_1)$ and $r_2 = H(\text{ID}_2)$ and sets up the following linear system:

$$\begin{cases} s_1 = r_1 \cdot a + b \pmod q \\ s_2 = r_2 \cdot a + b \pmod q \end{cases}$$

The unknown variables are (a, b) . If $r_1 \neq r_2$ (which occurs with probability $1 - \frac{1}{q} = 1 - \text{negl}(n)$), this system is full-rank.

(c) The adversary solves the system for (a, b) .

(d) Now the adversary knows $\text{msk} = (\text{pp}, a, b)$, so they can decrypt any ciphertext and break CPA security.

□

It turns out that any adversary that breaks the CPA-security of this IBE scheme needs to make at least 2 queries to $\text{KeyGen}(\text{msk}, \cdot)$. This IBE scheme is CPA-secure against any adversary that never makes more than 1 query to $\text{KeyGen}(\text{msk}, \cdot)$.