CS 171: Discussion Section 6 (2/26)

1 Insecure Candidates for MACs

Two candidate constructions of MACs are given below. The schemes use a pseudrandom function function F that maps $\{0,1\}^n \times \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}^n$. The differences between schemes 1 and 2 are shown in red.

Show that each of the following MAC schemes is insecure.

Scheme 1:

- 1. Gen (1^n) : Output $k \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^n$.
- 2. Mac(k, m): Let $m = m_0 || m_1$, where $m_0, m_1 \in \{0, 1\}^n$. Then Mac outputs

 $t = F(k, m_0) \oplus F(k, m_1)$

3. Verify(k, m, t): Output 1 if t = Mac(k, m), and output 0 otherwise.

Scheme 2:

- 1. Gen (1^n) : Output $k \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^n$.
- 2. Mac(k, m): Let $m = m_0 || m_1$, where $m_0, m_1 \in \{0, 1\}^n$. Then Mac outputs

 $t = F(k, m_0) ||F(k, m_1)|$

3. Verify(k, m, t): Output 1 if t = Mac(k, m), and output 0 otherwise.

2 Difference Between Regular and Strong Security for MACs

Construct a MAC MAC' := (Gen', Mac', Verify') that is secure but not strongly secure. In your construction, you may start with a secure MAC, MAC := (Gen, Mac, Verify).

3 MACs and Pseudorandom Functions

In the construction of a fixed-length MAC that we saw in lecture (and in construction 4.5 in the textbook), Mac is a pseudorandom function. However we will show that this feature is not necessary.

Construct a secure deterministic MAC for n-bit messages such that Mac is not a pseudorandom function. Note: you may use a pseudorandom function in your construction.