# CS 171: Discussion Section 9 (April 1)

# **1** Group Operations

**Definitions:** Let  $(\mathbb{G}, q, g) \leftarrow \mathcal{G}(1^n)$  be the description of a cyclic group for which the discrete log problem is hard.  $|\mathbb{G}| = q \approx 2^n$ , and  $g \in \mathbb{G}$  is a generator of  $\mathbb{G}$ . Next, let  $h \in \mathbb{G}$  be an arbitrary group element, and sample  $a, x, y \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$  independently and uniformly.

**Question:** For each of the following tasks, describe how it can be performed efficiently (in poly(n) time) or prove that it cannot be performed efficiently. For each task, assume that you are given ( $\mathbb{G}, q, g$ ), the parameters of the group.

- 1. Given x, g, compute  $g^x$ .
- 2. Sample a uniformly random element of  $\mathbb{G}$ .
- 3. Given h, compute  $h^{-1}$ .
- 4. Given  $a, y, g, g^x$ , compute  $g^{a \cdot x y}$ .
- 5. Given  $a, g^{a \cdot x}$ , compute  $a \cdot x$ .

## Solution

- 1. This can be done efficiently. The naive algorithm requires x = O(q) multiplications:  $g^x = \prod_{i \in [x]} g$ . However, there is a more-efficient algorithm based on repeated squaring that requires  $O(\log q)$  multiplications.
  - (a) <u>Repeated Squaring Algorithm</u>: Compute  $g^{(2^i)}$  for every  $i \in \{0, \dots, \log(q) 1\}$  as follows:
    - i.  $g^1 = g$ ii.  $g^2 = g^1 \cdot g^1$ iii.  $g^4 = g^2 \cdot g^2$ iv.  $g^8 = g^4 \cdot g^4$ v. Etc.

This requires  $\log(q) - 1$  multiplications in total.

(b) Then to compute  $g^x$ : write x in binary as  $\vec{x} \in \{0, 1\}^{\log q}$ . Let  $\vec{x}_0$  be the lowest-order bit, and let  $\vec{x}_{\log(q)-1}$  be the highest-order bit. Then compute

$$g^x = \prod_{i:\vec{\mathsf{x}}_i=1} g^{\left(2^i\right)}$$

This requires  $O(\log q)$  multiplications.

- 2. This can be done efficiently. Sample  $x \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$  and compute  $h = g^x$ .
- 3. This can be done efficiently. Compute  $h^{q-1}$  (using repeated squaring). Note that  $h^{q-1} = h^{-1}$  because  $h \cdot h^{q-1} = h^{q-1} \cdot h = h^q = 1$ .

Here, we used the fact that  $h^q = 1$ .

**Note:** Cyclic groups have the following useful property:

$$q^x = q^x \mod q$$

for any  $q \in \mathbb{G}$  and any  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $q = |\mathbb{G}|$ .

- 4. This can be done efficiently.
  - (a) Compute  $g^{a \cdot x} = (g^x)^a$  using repeated squaring.
  - (b) Compute  $g^{-y}$ .
  - (c) Compute  $g^{a \cdot x y} = g^{a \cdot x} \cdot g^{-y}$
- 5. No efficient algorithm can succeed at this task with non-negligible probability. This follows from the hardness of discrete log.

#### Proof.

- (a) <u>Key Ideas</u>: We can turn this task into the discrete log problem mainly by renaming our variables. We will also need the fact that a is independent of  $a \cdot x$  (due to the randomness of x), so a gives no useful information to the discrete log adversary.
- (b) Let  $y = a \cdot x$ , and let  $h = g^{a \cdot x}$ . Since a and x are independent and uniformly random, then a and h are statistically close to independent and uniformly random.
- (c) Now with this new notation, the problem that  $\mathcal{A}$  solves is the following: Modified Discrete Log Game:
  - i. The challenger samples  $(\mathbb{G}, q, g) \leftarrow \mathcal{G}(1^n)$ . Then they sample  $a \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$ . If a = 0, then h = 1. Otherwise, sample  $h \leftarrow \mathbb{G}$ . Finally, they give the adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  the variables  $(\mathbb{G}, q, g, h, a)$ .
  - ii.  $\mathcal{A}$  outputs  $y' \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ .
  - iii. The output of the game is 1 if  $h = g^{y'}$ , and the output is 0 otherwise.
- (d) <u>Reduction to discrete log:</u> We will show that if there exists a PPT adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  for which the *Modified Discrete Log Game* outputs 1 with non-negligible probability, then we can construct an adversary  $\mathcal{B}$  that wins the *Discrete Log Game* with nonnegligible probability. This is a contradiction because discrete log is hard relative to  $\mathcal{G}$ , so in fact,  $\mathcal{A}$  cannot win the *Modified Discrete Log Game* with greater than negligible probability.
- (e) <u>Construction of  $\mathcal{B}$ </u> (the discrete log adversary):
  - i. The discrete log challenger samples  $(\mathbb{G}, q, g) \leftarrow \mathcal{G}(1^n)$  and  $h \leftarrow \mathbb{G}$ . Then they give  $\mathcal{B}$  the variables  $(\mathbb{G}, q, g, h)$ .
  - ii.  $\mathcal{B}$  samples  $a \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$  and runs  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G}, q, g, h, a)$  until  $\mathcal{A}$  outputs y'.  $\mathcal{B}$  also outputs y'.
- (f) B simulates the Modified Discrete Log Game up to negligible statistical error. This is because in the Modified Discrete Log Game, a is statistically close to independent of (G, q, g, h), and h is statistically close to uniformly random. That means with non-negligible probability, A and B will output a y' such that h = q<sup>y'</sup>. This is the answer that B needs to win the Discrete Log Game, so B wins

the Discrete Log Game with non-negligible probability.

# 2 Another PKE Construction from DDH

Consider the following public-key encryption scheme, which is based on El Gamal encryption.

1. Gen $(1^n)$ : Sample  $(\mathbb{G}, q, g) \leftarrow \mathcal{G}(1^n)$  and  $x \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$ . Then compute  $h = g^x$ . Next,

let 
$$\mathsf{pk} = (\mathbb{G}, q, g, h)$$
  
 $\mathsf{sk} = (\mathbb{G}, q, g, x)$ 

2.  $\mathsf{Enc}(\mathsf{pk}, m)$ : Let  $m \in \{0, 1\}$ . First, sample  $y \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$ . Next:

(a) If m = 0, compute and output the following ciphertext:

$$c = (c_1, c_2) = (g^y, h^y)$$

(b) If m = 1, then sample  $z \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$  and output the following ciphertext:

$$c = (c_1, c_2) = (g^y, g^z)$$

3. Dec(sk, c): TBD

### **Questions:**

- 1. Fill in the algorithm  $\mathsf{Dec}(\mathsf{sk}, c)$  so that the scheme is efficient and correct, up to negligible error.
- 2. Prove that this encryption scheme is CPA-secure if DDH is hard.

## Solution

### Part 1: Decryption

- 1.  $\mathsf{Dec}(\mathsf{sk}, c)$ : Check whether  $c_1^x = c_2$ . If so output 0, and if not output 1.
- 2. This encryption scheme is clearly efficient.
- 3. Now we will show correctness:

Claim 2.1. For any (pk, sk, m),

$$\Pr[\mathsf{Dec}(\mathsf{sk},\mathsf{Enc}(\mathsf{pk},m))=m] \ge 1 - \mathsf{negl}(n)$$

where the probability is over the randomness of Enc.

*Proof.* First, if c = Enc(pk, 0), then

$$c_1^x = (g^y)^x = g^{x \cdot y} = (g^x)^y = h^y = c_2$$

Then Dec(sk, c) will output 0.

Second, if c = Enc(pk, 1), then  $c_2 = g^z$ . Decryption will be incorrect only if  $c_1^x = c_2$ . In this case,  $g^{x \cdot y} = g^z$ , so  $x \cdot y = z \mod q$ . Next, since z is uniformly random,

$$\Pr_{z}[x \cdot y = z \mod q] = \frac{1}{q} = \mathsf{negl}(n)$$

In summary, over the randomness of Enc:

$$\Pr[\mathsf{Dec}(\mathsf{sk},\mathsf{Enc}(\mathsf{pk},1))=0] = \mathsf{negl}(n)$$

## Part 2: CPA security

**Claim 2.2.** If DDH is hard relative to  $\mathcal{G}$ , then the encryption scheme defined above satisfies CPA security.

Proof.

- 1. Key ideas: An adversary that tries to break DDH is given  $(g^x, g^y, g^z)$  and must distinguish whether  $z = x \cdot y \mod q$  or  $z \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$ . These two cases correspond to encryptions of 0 and 1 respectively. If there were a CPA adversary that could tell whether the challenge ciphertext encrypts 0 or 1, then they could be used to break DDH.
- 2. <u>Overview:</u> Assume toward contradiction that there's a PPT adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  that breaks the CPA security of the encryption scheme. Then we will use  $\mathcal{A}$  to construct a PPT adversary  $\mathcal{B}$  that wins the DDH game with non-negligible advantage. This is a contradiction because no PPT adversary can win the DDH game with non-negligible advantage. Therefore, our assumption was false and in fact, the encryption scheme is CPA-secure.
- 3. Let us require that  $\mathcal{A}$ 's challenge messages are always  $m_0 = 0$  and  $m_1 = 1$ . This is without loss of generality. The intuition is that there are only two possible messages  $\{0, 1\}$  to choose from.
- 4. Construction of  $\mathcal{B}$  (the DDH adversary):
  - (a) The DDH challenger samples  $(\mathbb{G}, q, g) \leftarrow \mathcal{G}(1^n)$ , and also samples  $x, y \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$  independently. Then they either set  $z = x \cdot y \mod q$  or sample  $z \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$ . Finally, they give  $\mathcal{B}$  the values  $(\mathbb{G}, q, g, g^x, g^y, g^z)$ .
  - (b)  $\mathcal{B}$  will simulate the CPA security game. They set  $\mathsf{pk} = (\mathbb{G}, q, g, g^x)$ . Then they run the CPA adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  on input  $\mathsf{pk}$ .
  - (c) When  $\mathcal{A}$  outputs two challenge messages  $m_0 = 0$  and  $m_1 = 1$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$  ignores them and returns  $c^* = (g^y, g^z)$ .
  - (d) When  $\mathcal{A}$  outputs a bit b',  $\mathcal{B}$  outputs b' as well.
- 5. For any given  $b \in \{0, 1\}$ , let  $\mathsf{CPA}(\mathcal{A}, b)$  be the CPA game in which the challenge ciphertext is always an encryption of  $m_b$ , and the output of the game is whatever bit b' that  $\mathcal{A}$  outputs.

Since  $\mathcal{A}$  breaks CPA security,

$$\left| \Pr[\mathsf{CPA}(\mathcal{A}, 0) \to 1] - \Pr[\mathsf{CPA}(\mathcal{A}, 1) \to 1] \right| \ge \mathsf{non-negl}(n)$$

Furthermore, when the DDH challenger sets  $z = x \cdot y \mod q$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$  ends up simulating  $\mathsf{CPA}(\mathcal{A}, 0)$ , and when the DDH challenge samples  $z \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$  ends up simulating  $\mathsf{CPA}(\mathcal{A}, 1)$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{B}$  distinguishes these two cases with non-negligible advantage.